



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/607,891	06/27/2003	Raphaël Rembrand	12808.20US11	7236
23552 7590 06/09/2009 MERCHANT & GOULD PC P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903				
EXAMINER				
BRINEY III, WALTER F				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2614				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
06/09/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

**Notice of Abandonment****Application No.**

10/607,891

**Applicant(s)**

REMBRAND ET AL.

**Examiner**

WALTER F. BRINEY III

**Art Unit**

2614

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--**

This application is abandoned in view of:

1. ☒ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on \_\_\_\_.
- (a) ☐ A reply was received on \_\_\_\_ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated \_\_\_\_), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of \_\_\_\_ month(s)) which expired on \_\_\_\_.
- (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on \_\_\_\_, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.
- (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).
- (c) ☒ A reply was received on 05 March 2009 but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).
- (d) ☐ No reply has been received.
2. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).
- (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on \_\_\_\_ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated \_\_\_\_), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).
- (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$\_\_\_\_ is insufficient. A balance of \$\_\_\_\_ is due.
- The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$\_\_\_\_. The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$\_\_\_\_.
- (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.
3. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).
- (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on \_\_\_\_ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated \_\_\_\_), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.
- (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.
4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.
5. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.
6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on \_\_\_\_ and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.
7. ☒ The reason(s) below:

See Continuation Sheet

/Walter F. Briney III/  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit: 2614

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment: The Applicant filed a notice of appeal on 05 September 2008. Subsequently, the Applicant failed to file an appeal brief, and instead submitted an amendment on 05 March 2009. This amendment is non-responsive for several reasons. First, it is not a proper reply to a notice of appeal, which requires a brief to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences. Second, even considering the amendment as an implied withdrawal of appeal, it is not accompanied by an RCE. Finally, even assuming the existence of an RCE, it shifts from one elected species to a second non-elected species while effectively cancelling all claims to the elected species. Since the period to reply to the non-final office action filed 06 March 2008 and the notice of appeal filed 05 September 2008 have elapsed, this case is abandoned..